

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Earnshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Lindsay
<b>Person ID</b>	1287196
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Earnshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Lindsay
<b>Person ID</b>	1287196
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Greenbelt land is protected by the National Planning Policy - Many of the development plans in PfE are on greenbelt land. Its incredibly important to protect this land for people and wildlife in the greater manchester area.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Use only brownfield sites for development. Scrap any plans that involve building on greenbelt.
<b>Family Name</b>	Earnshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Lindsay
<b>Person ID</b>	1287196
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web

<p><b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b></p>	<p>3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved 6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Justified?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Effective?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>"Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved" - Why build new industrial estates when there are vacancies that can be filled in the current ones?? I also dont think the plan has taken into account the extra vacancies from businesses that have gone bust in covid/brexit. Not to mention the fact that the "clean air charge" is likely to put any new businesses off setting up in the Greater Manchester area. You should be focusing on attracting businesses that can fill the vacancies on our existing industrial units.</p> <p>"Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information". Our public transport is expensive, unreliable and generally below par. We dont have the required infrastructure for our current population figures.. This will only be made worse by building more houses. The clean air charge wont help either,</p> <p>"Promote the health and wellbeing of communities" . Building on greenbelt will have a negative impact on the health and wellbeing of communities. So many people relied on our green spaces for their mental &amp; physical health during covid lockdowns, and during non covid times. Not to mention the Schools, doctors, hospitals, roads that are already filled to capacity. If you increase the number of houses in Greater Manchester you will put even more strain on these very limited resources.</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Protect greenbelt land for everyone... dont build on it. Only use brownfield sites for well thought out developments that will benefit everyone.</p> <p>Attract new businesses to fill vacant units in current industrial estates.</p> <p>Improve public transport - Make it affordable &amp; reliable.</p>
<p><b>Family Name</b></p>	<p>Earnshaw</p>
<p><b>Given Name</b></p>	<p>Lindsay</p>
<p><b>Person ID</b></p>	<p>1287196</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	We have so many vacant industrial units in the greater manchester area. These should all be filled before we build more. Covid, brexit and the greater manchester clean air charge will all negatively affect demand for industrial units in the area. I think these plans are unjustified.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Fill vacancies in current already established industrial estates. Attract new businesses.
<b>Family Name</b>	Earnshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Lindsay
<b>Person ID</b>	1287196
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.2: Simister and Bowlee (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Simister and bowlee are rural areas that benefit from plenty of greenspaces. These developments will have a hugely negative impact on the people and wildlife in the area.
<b>Family Name</b>	Earnshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Lindsay
<b>Person ID</b>	1287196
<b>Title</b>	JPA 2: Stakehill
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	All proposed development for stakehill is on Greenbelt land. Greenbelt is protected by the national planning policy, and its hugely important that we protect it for people and wildlife in the area.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Scrap any plans to build on greenbelt land & use brownfield sites only for development.
<b>Family Name</b>	Earnshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Lindsay
<b>Person ID</b>	1287196
<b>Title</b>	JPA 24: Roch Valley
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Rochdale is a dump. Our green spaces are by far the best thing about the area.. Please dont build all over them.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Develop brownfield sites only